

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH DIVISION**

GABRIEL SANCHEZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

**CREDIT FIRST NATIONAL
ASSOCIATION,**

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Civil Action No. 4:23-cv-01166-O-BP

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Before the Court is the Joint Agreed Motion to Dismiss With Prejudice. ECF No. 15. The Motion explains that “[a]ll matters in controversy between Plaintiff and Defendant have been fully and completely compromised and settled” and that “Plaintiff no longer wishes to pursue his claims against Defendant.” *Id.* at 1. An action may be dismissed by a party without order of the court by filing a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1). Entry of a judgment of dismissal with prejudice, with each party bearing the party’s own costs, would serve administrative and statistical purposes and the interest of justice. Accordingly, the undersigned recommends that Judge O’Connor **DISMISS** the action with prejudice, with each party bearing the party’s own costs.

A copy of these findings, conclusions, and recommendation shall be served on all parties in the manner provided by law. Any party who objects to any part of these findings, conclusions, and recommendation must file specific written objections within fourteen days after being served with a copy. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). In order to be specific, an objection must identify the specific finding or recommendation to which objection is made, state

the basis for the objection, and specify the place in the magistrate judge's findings, conclusions, and recommendation where the disputed determination is found. An objection that merely incorporates by reference or refers to the briefing before the magistrate judge is not specific. Failure to file specific written objections will bar the aggrieved party from appealing the factual findings and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge that are accepted or adopted by the district court, except upon grounds of plain error. *See Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1417 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

SIGNED on January 4, 2024.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Hal R. Ray, Jr." The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style. The signature is positioned above a horizontal line.

Hal R. Ray, Jr.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE